



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/675,280	07/01/1996	RICHARD M. WEBER	TI-19646.1	9382

7590 04/30/2002

JERRY W. MILLS
BAKER & BOTTSENTS
2001 ROSS AVENUE
DALLAS, TX 75201

EXAMINER

ATKINSON, CHRISTOPHER MARK

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3743

DATE MAILED: 04/30/2002

47

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED: *43*

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE PERIOD FOR REPLY:

a) will expire _____ months from the date of the final Office action (including extensions of time granted).
b) expires either (1) three months from the mail date of the final Office action, or (2) on the mail date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than six months from the mail date of the final Office action.

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above.

Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).

Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed 1/29/02 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance.

1. The proposed amendment to the claim and/or specifications will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:

- a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
- b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
- c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
- d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
- e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE:

2. Newly proposed or amended claim _____ would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.

3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:

Claim allowed: _____

Claims objected to: _____

Claims rejected: _____

However:

Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____

4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because see attached page 2

5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.

The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.

Other _____

Applicant may obtain further examination by filing a request for an application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA).

Art Unit:

All of applicant's concerns directed toward the specification objection(s) and the first paragraph rejection(s) of 35 U.S.C. 112 are not found persuasive. The Examiner has stated and given reasons in the Final Rejection mailed 11/30/01 why he believes the devices of claims 26 and 31 are not supported in the originally filed application/specification.

All of applicant's concerns directed toward the 102(b) rejection are not found persuasive. Fibers (14) are physically coupled (i.e. in contact with the container) and thermally coupled to the container (10). Also, see column 2, lines 15-33 and column 4, lines 43-51 in Telkes.

All of applicant's concerns directed toward the 103 rejection are not found persuasive. The device of the combination of Telkes and Voorhes et al. discloses the claimed invention along with the motivation to combine the references. See Final Rejection mailed 11/30/01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Atkinson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2603.



C.A. CHRISTOPHER ATKINSON
August 13, 2001 PRIMARY EXAMINER